

Construction Management Services for Replacement Vessel MV INTINTOLI

21-015

Addendum #2

18 February 2022

SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA

**WATER EMERGENCY
TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY**

SCOPE

This Addendum No. 1 consists of 3 pages, it includes the following 8 questions and responses:

1. There is no mention of Class approval in the RFP. Will Class be selected/contracted through the shipyard or WETA? What role will the consultant have in a) selection of Class and b) coordination with Class.
 1. WETA response: Typically, these vessels follow class rules in construction, but are not classed.
2. Does WETA intend on selecting a shipyard with an existing, proven design or do they anticipate a custom design (and thus, a greater need for design review/approval)?
 1. WETA response: WETA has not selected a vessel design yet.
3. Does WETA have a planned schedule for the entire construction process on which our bid should be based? How much time (calendar days) is currently set aside for pre-bid services, construction phase, and warranty support phases?
 1. WETA response: Developing a schedule may be covered in Part B Scope of Services / Technical Specifications to the successful proposer.
4. What level of manning does WETA require on-site during construction? Does WETA want a rep on site from day 1 of aluminum cutting, or from start of assembly? How many reps does WETA require during various stages of construction?
 1. WETA response: Section 14 Technical Proposal Content and Format; section B Project Understanding and Approach includes this as a requirement for submittal form the proposers.
5. The Sample Agreement” seems to indicate the possible use of a cost-not-to-exceed basis for this contract, meaning that hourly rated would apply. Is this the expected format, and if so, would the costs proposed be limited to hourly rates?
 1. WETA response: Cost proposals should be submitted as labor rates as per section 15 Cost Proposal Form.
6. The RFP references cost proposals and a Cost Proposal Form. Was this omitted, or is it located elsewhere on the WETA website?
 1. WETA response: The RFP did not include a cost proposal form in order to not limit the proposer’s ability fully define their responses in section 14 Technical Proposal Content and Format.
7. While the location of vessel construction cannot yet be determined, it would be useful if WETA could define their expectations regarding the duration and staffing requirements of the three periods listed in the Scope of Services, i.e: pre-bid Services, Construction Phase Services, Post Construction Services. Different companies and governmental bodies may have different expectations, i.e. 1 person on-site full-time throughout construction, or 1 person part-time for the first three months, then full-time later, with a second person for the last 3 months -- it all depends on the customer. I suppose that if it is a price-not-to-exceed contract it may not matter, but for planning purposes and to ensure a level playing field during bidding, some guidance would be helpful.
 1. WETA response: Section 14 Technical Proposal Content and Format; section B Project Understanding and Approach includes this as a requirement for submittal form the proposers.

8. Will the respective bidder that is awarded the Construction Management contract be excluded for bidding on subsequent procurements related to this project (I.e. M/V Intintoli vessel replacement)?
 1. No, the successful proposer would not be excluded from future procurements.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT BY BIDDER

Each bidder is required to acknowledge receipt of all Addenda, including this Addendum No. 6 as specified in the RFP Instructions to Offerers.

ISSUED BY:

Jeff Powell
WETA

Date